

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003))	ET Docket No. 03-137
)	
And)	
)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: (Evelyn Savarin
PO Box 1285
25280 So Hwy 211
Estacada, Or 97023
(E-mail evbrijen@yahoo.com)
(503-630-2432)

February 4, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF

State of Oregon]

Clackamas _____ County]

I, Evelyn Savarin, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is _Evelyn Savarin :

My address is Mailing: PO Box 1285, Estacada Oregon 97023, Home: 25280 So. Hwy 211, Estacada, Oregon 97023_.

2. I am (describe your occupation.

Retired Urban Planner

3. Use as many concise (numbered) paragraphs as you need to describe your interest and involvement that support your desire to change the FCC RF safety guidelines.

1. I ask the FCC to REVISE ITS RF POLICIES and GUIDELINES to OFFER FREEDOM OF CHOICE to those members of society that DO NOT WISH or CANNOT participate or tolerate our Wireless saturated environment.

*2. I request the commission to encourage more programs and policies offering **NON – WIRELESS SOLUTIONS** TO MEET the COMMUNICATION NEEDS for to those members of society wanting to opt out of wireless lifestyle and exposures.*

3. I ask the commission to RELEGATE AREAS of the country as WIRELESS FREE ZONES for those people who seek establish themselves in a wireless free living environment.

4. These ZONES should comprise a minimum of 10 SQUARE MILES where WIRELESS INSTALLATIONS and PERSONAL DEVICES would be greatly restricted, and maximum AMBIENT LEVELS would be set based on Biological Standards, NOT Thermal Standards

5. The New STANDARDS & REGULATIONS must include evaluation INDIVIDUAL DEVICE EMISSIONS AND THEIR UNIQUE FREQUENCY CONFIGURATION AND MODULATION

CHARACTERISTICS; NOT on current standards that compile emission parameters for a wide sweep of RF frequencies, currently from 30 Mhz to 300 GHZ , irrespective of their unique RF emission characteristics.

6. I have suffered from reactions to wireless for over 15 years. It mainly manifests in an Inability to Sleep.

7. Through the purchase of Radiofrequency and other EMF Meters at one time I was able to seek out and find areas of a region or country with ambient exposure levels I could tolerate to sleep and work under with relative ease. With the growth of Wireless technology and installations into every corner of our environment there is no place left to run. The situation has become intolerable for myself and many others.

8. Overexposure to wireless emissions has cost me greatly in social contacts, health and financial stability.

9. I cannot maintain a job for I am always tired.

10. My daughter & grandchildren desperately need my financial and physical support. I cannot accommodate them for I cannot live close by since she must live in a city saturated by this technology.

11. Wireless technology has reduced my life's options and compromised my health.

12. It is UNDEMOCRATIC to impose one lifestyle on every living creature on the planet.

13. There is NOW sufficient SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE showing that EMF Hi- Frequency Wireless technology is effecting life on the planet from Humans, to Wildlife and even Climate. (Please see attached Compilation of Studies)

14. I ask the commisstion to view the Science of Wireless Radio, Microwave frequency technology not as an answer to a Utopian future, but a Manmade Construct that must be evaluated with Humility, Prudence and Consideration for those who are not able or desire not to sustain its exposure effects.

Respectfully submitted by

Evelyn Savarin